ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—1960-61 ಮತ್ತು 1961-62 ಈ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಣಿಗಲ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷದ 85 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಬಾವಿಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ. ಲಿಬರಲೈಸ್ಡ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹದಿನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಾವಿರ, 1,600 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಹೀಗಿದ್ದರೂ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಸಾಲ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದರೆ, ಅದನ್ನೊಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Supplementary to (b), may I know what is the money that is given to Huliyurdurga and how many applications are received?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Under Liberalised Rules Scheme, we have given Rs. 48,000 to Huliyurdurga Hobli. If the Hon'ble Member wants, I can give the break up also. But it is a long list.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—How many applications were received?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He says that it is a long list. Shall I ask him to place it on the Table of the House, because it is a long list?

Sri C.J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Yes,Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷದ ಎಂಭತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷವಾದರೂ ರೈತರು ಕಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಕಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟದ್ದುಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. 35 ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಹದಿನಾಲ್ಕುಸಾವಿರ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಖರ್ಚಿನಿಂದ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ 85 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ, ಈ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಬಾವಿಗಳಾಗಿವೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ವಸೂಲಾಗಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—37 ಬಾವಿಗಳು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿವೆ. ಎಲ್. ಐ. ಎಸ್. ನಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಚ್ಚಾದ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಹಣವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಬಾವಿ ತೆಗೆಯದೇಯಿರುವವರೆಷ್ಟುಜನ?

ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ಹೆಗ್ಗಡೆ.—ಅಂಥ ನಿದರ್ಶನಗಳು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is purely a hypothetical question. Is the hon'ble Member aware of an instance?

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಕೊನೆಯ ಕಂತು ಕೊಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ನಂತರ ಹತ್ತು ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಕಂತುಕೊಟ್ಟಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರಣಾಂತರದಿಂದ ಹಣವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಎರಡನೆಯ ಕಂತನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಮೊದಲ ಕಂತಿಗೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರ ಹಾಕುತ್ತೀರಿ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Still it is hypothetical. If the Hon'ble Member can give me a particular cases...

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do the chair not want the name to be mentioned.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—At least the name of the village could be given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—With reference to which village has he got the instance? It is very embarrassing for me.

(*No answer from the Hon'ble member*).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next Question No. 385.

To entrust the evaluation of Progress on Execution of Power Projects to an independent Agency.

Q.*—385. **Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Central Government had requested the State Government to entrust the evaluation of progress on execution of power projects to an independent agency not connected with the execution of the projects and to review the progress periodically;

(*b*) if the answer to (*a*) is in the affirmative, what steps Government have taken to constitute such an agency?

A.—**Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN** (Deputy Minister for Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The responsibility for watching the progress of power projects may be deemed as vesting with the Mysore State Electricity Consultative Council and the Hydro-Electric Construction projects Board, both of which advise the State Government on matters concerning Electricity. The Hydro-Electric Construction Project Board reviews the progress of power projects under execution in the State periodically. In view of the existing agencies cited above, there is no need to constitute a fresh agency for the purpose.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Sir, I have put one question, and they have given another answer.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why are questions answered wrongly ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—My question is have the Central Government suggested any independent agency for evaluating the work and they say yes, but there are two advisory bodies, and those bodies carry out the work.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Sir, I will amplify. The answer is correct.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—My question is whether the Central Government have asked the State Government to set up an independent agency..., and they say yes...

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is very clear.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Sir, the question is clear and the answer is amply clear. The Central Government suggested an independent evaluation body from within the State and not from outside the State. That should be known first. If the reference is made to a suggestion from the Central Government to appoint a body from outside the State, then, there is no direction of that kind. The suggestion is made to the State Government by the Centre that an evaluation body from within the State should be appointed. To that effect we have received a suggestion. But before that suggestion, we have to comply with the spirit and letter of the suggestion made by the Government of India, in as much as we have a Hydro-Electric Co struction Board wherein independent members have been included and the Board is so constituted that one of the functions of the Board is to review and discuss the progress of works and expenditure on the vari us projects. Under this clause the Board is competent to evaluate the progress of the work of these projects. Therefore we have complied with the suggestion of the Government of India. As regards the constitution of the Board, the Board is composed of independent authorites who are not connected with the execution of the project. The execution of the project is entrusted to 2 Chief Engineers. These two people are members of the Board. Over and above them we have got the Chairman, CWPC., the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and power, the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance, the Finance Secretary to the Government of Mysore, the Planning Secretary and the P.W.D. Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Three eminent retired Chief Engineers are there on the Board. The inclusion of these independent members on the Board constitutes an element of independence in the body for reviewing the progress of work.

1-30 P.M.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Have the Central Government been informed of this and have they agreed to the suggestion of the State Government ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Yes. We made a suggestion. I believe that the Central Government are amply pleased with the steps taken by this Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಂದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಎರಡು ಬಾಡಿ ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನದನ್ಯರು ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ಇದ್ದಾರೆ ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—The Minister for Public Works (Chairman).

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, P.W.D.,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Finance Department.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Planning.

The Chairman, C.W.P.C. or his representative.

The ecretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power or his representative.

The Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance or his representative.

Three retired Engineers.

The State Chief Engineer, Mysore Electricity Board.

The Chie Engineer, Hydro-Electric Construction Projects.

The Special Chief Engineer, Sharavathy Valley Project.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—How often does this Board submit its report to the Government of Mysore ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—They regularly meet, sometimes every month. The proceedings of their meetings and their views are submitted to Gover.ment.

Sri D. M. SIDDAIAH.—Supplementary to (b): What are the reports given and what are the projects on which the reports are given ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Those details are not available.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Is it not a fact that in this Board there is a majority of official members ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Yes. Nowhere has the Government of India suggested that non-officials should be associated with the Board.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Does Government think this is an independent agency and it can take an independent view ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—This agency is quite independent of Government and it can give independent judgment

Mr. SPEAKER.—Are the Secretaries subordinate to the Government ?

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—The Secretaries are certainly subordina.e to the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Secretaries to Government at the Centre are not subordinate to this Government. Sri Annao Rao seems to think that this is a subordinate body.

Sri VEERENDRAPATIL.—The question is of entrusting the evaluation to an indepe.dent agency not connected with the execution of the project. The members of the Board have nothing to do with the execution of the project which we have entrusted to the Chief Engineers.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಡಿ. ದೇವೇಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಎರಡು ಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನ್-ಅಫಿಷಿಯಲ್ ಮೆಂಬರು ಗಳಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಎಚ್. ಷಂಸುದ್ದೀನ್.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What are the powers of Government after receiving the reports from the Advisory Board ? What action is taken on them by Government ?

Sri J H. SHAMSUDDIN.—When the recommendations are reasonable and such as will further the cause of Sharavathy Valley Project, we will take suitable action on them.

Sri D. M. SIDDAIAH.—Is this House not entitled to know the contents of the periodical reports of these two bodies ; if so, why are the reports not made available to the House ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does the hon. Member suggest that every interim report should be placed before the Hou.e ? It may take months and years to complete certain enquiries and in the meanwhile the interim reports may be reviewed and fresh directions given. Does he want all these to be placed before the House ?

Sri D. M. SIDDAIAH.—I asked the Minister only to know how the Boards are functioning and what happens to their reports.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If they are interim reports they cannot possibly be placed on the Table of the House because no purpose will be served by that.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—These reports are nothing but a review of the day to day progress of construction and matters incidental thereto and I do n.t think the House will be interested to know the day to day constructional work of these projects.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Is it not a fact that the Government of India knew that three representatives of theirs were serving on this Board but nevertheless they suggested that the State Government should constitute an independent body ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—It is difficult for me to say whether the Government of India took into consideration the presence of these members on the Board before they made the suggestion for an independent Board. It is for them to answer.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Has this Board evaluated the progress of the work in Sharavathy valley project ?

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—It is expected to do and I presume it has done its duty properly.